

***Sistemas***

DETI***Distribuídos***

*Concurrency 2*

António Rui Borges

***Summary***

* *General principles of concurrency*

– *Critical regions*

– *Racing* DETI*conditions*

– *Deadlock and indefinite postponement*

* *Synchronization devices*

– *Monitors*

– *Semaphores*

* *Java concurrency library*
* *Suggested readings*

3 - 2 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

They may act as

***General principles of concurrency - 1***


In a multiprogrammed environment, coexisting processes may present different behaviors interaction wise.

• *independent processes*DETI–whentheyarecreated,live and die without expli-citly interacting among themselves; the underlying interaction is implicit and has its roots in the *competition* for the computational system resources; they are typically processes created by different users, or by the same user for different purposes, in an interactive environment, or processes which result from *job* processing in a *batch* environment

• *cooperating processes* – when they share information or communicate in an explicit manner; *sharing* presupposes a common addressing space, while *communication* can be carried out either by sharing the addressing space,or through a communication channel that connects the intervening processes.

3 - 3 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***General principles of concurrency - 2***



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *P1* | *P2* | *PN* |  | *P1* | *P2* | *PN* | *P1* | *P2* | *PN* |  |
|  |  | ***resource*** |  |  |  | ***shared data*** |  | ***communication channel*** | | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ***structure*** |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| • | *independent processes* that compete for | | | • | *cooperating processes* which share information or commu- | | | | | |  |
|  | access to a common resource of the com- | | | • | nicate among themselves | | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | responsibility of the involved processes to ensure | | | | |  |
|  | putational system | |  |  |  |
|  | DETIitisthe | | | | |  |  |  |  |
| • | it is the *OS* responsibility to ensure that | | |  | that access to the shared region is carried out in a control- | | | | | |  |
|  | the resource assignment is carried out in | | | • | led fashion so that there is no loss of information | | | | |  |  |
|  | this requires in general that only a single process may have | | | | | |  |
|  | a controlled fashion so that there is no | | |  |
| • | loss of information | |  | • | access at a time to the resource (*mutual exclusion*) | | | | |  |  |
| this requires in general that only a single | | | the communication channel is typically a resource of the | | | | | |  |
|  | process may have access to the resource | | |  | computational system; hence, access to it should be seen as | | | | | |  |
|  | at a time (*mutual exclusion*) | |  |  | *competition* for access to a common resource | | | | |  |  |


3 - 4 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

*critical region*

***General principles of concurrency - 3***

Making the language precise, whenever one talks about *access by a process to a* *resource, or a shared region*, one is in reality talking about the processor executingthe corresponding access code. This code, because it must be executed in a way that prevents the occurrence of *racing conditions*, which inevitably lead to loss of information, is usually called *critical region*.

DETI***resourceor***

**

***shared region***

**

*critical region*

**

*code of process A* *code of process B*

3 - 5 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***General principles of concurrency - 4***

Imposing mutual exclusion on access to a resource, or to a shared region, can have, by its restrictive character, two undesirable consequences

* *deadlock / livelock* – it happens when two or more processes are waitingforever (blockedDETI/in*busywaiting*)fortheaccess to the respective critical regions, being held back by events which, one may prove, will never occur; as a result the operations can not proceed
* *indefinite postponement* – it happens when one or more processes compete forthe access to a critical region and, due to a conjunction of circumstances where new processes come up continuously and compete with the former for this goal, access is successively denied; one is here, therefore, facing a real obstacle to their continuation.

One aims, when designing a multithreaded application, to prevent these patho-logical consequences to occur and to produce code which has a *liveness* property.


3 - 6 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Problem of access to a critical region with mutual exclusion***

Desirable properties that a general solution to the problem must assume

* *effective assurance of mutual exclusion imposition* – access to the criticalregion associatedDETItoagivenresource,orshared region, can only be allowed to a single process at a time, among all that are competing to the access concurrently
* *independence on the relative speed of execution of the intervening processes, or of their number* – nothing should be presumed about these factors
* *a process outside the critical region can not prevent another to enter*
* *the possibility of access to the critical region of any process that wishes to can not be postponed indefinitely*
* *the time a process is inside a critical region is necessarily finite*.

3 - 7 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática


***Resources***

Generally speaking, a *resource* is something a process needs to access. Resources may either be *physical components of the computational system* (processors, regions of the main or mass memory, specific input / output devices, etc), or *common data* *structures* defined at the operating system level (process control table, communicationchannels, etc) or among processes of an application.

An essential property of resources is the kind of appropriation processes make of them. In this sense, resources are divided in

* *preemptable resources* –when they can be taken away from the processes that holdthem, without any malfunction resulting from the fact; the processor and regions of the main memory where a process addressing space is stored, are examples of this class in multiprogrammed environments
* *non-preemptable resources* –when it is not possible; the printer or a shared datastructure, requiring mutual exclusion for its manipulation, are examples of this class.

3 - 8 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática



***Schematic deadlock characterization***


In a *deadlock* situation, only *non-preemptable* resources are relevant. The remaining can always be taken away, if necessary, from the processes that hold them and assigned to others to ensure that the latter may progress.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Thus, using this kind of framework, one may develop a schematic notation which | | | |
|  | DETI | |  |
| represents a *deadlock* situation graphically. | |  |  |
| *R* | *P* | *P* | *S* |



|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***process P holds the resource R*** | ***process P requires the resource S*** |  |
|  |  |




*R*  *P*

*Q*  *S*

***typical deadlock situation***

(*the most simple one*)


3 - 9 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Necessary conditions to the occurrence of deadlock***

It can be shown that, whenever *deadlock* occurs, there are four conditions which are necessarily present. They are the

* *condition of mutual exclusion* – each existing resource is either free, or wasassigned to a single process (its holding can not be shared)
* *condition of waiting with retention* – each process, upon requesting a newresource, holds all other previously requested and assigned resources
* *condition of non-liberation* – nothing, but the process itself, can decide whena previously assigned resource is freed
* *condition of circular waiting* (or *vicious circle*) – a circular chain of processesand resources, where each process requests a resource which is being held by the next process in the chain, is formed.

3 - 10 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Deadlock prevention - 1***

The necessary conditions to the occurrence of *deadlock* lead to the statement

*deadlock occurrence* ⇒ *mutual exclusion on access to a resource* **and** *waiting with retention* **and**

*no liberation of resources* **and**

*circular waiting*

which is equivalent to


*no mutual exclusion on access to a resource* **or** *no waiting with retention* **or**

*liberation of resources* **or**

*no circular waiting ⇒ no deadlock occurrence .*

Thus, in order to make deadlock *impossible to happen*, one has only to deny one of the necessary conditions to the occurrence of deadlock. Policies which follow this strategy are called *deadlock prevention policies*.

3 - 11 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática


***Deadlock prevention - 2***

The first, *mutual exclusion on access to a resource*, is too restrictive because it can only be denied for non- preemptable resources. Otherwise, *racing conditions* are introduced which lead, or may lead, to information inconsistency.

Reading access by multiple processes to a file is a typical example of denying this condition. One should point out that, in this case, it is also common to allow at the same time a single writing access. When this happens, however, *racing conditions*, with the consequent loss of information, can not be completely discarded. *Why*?

Therefore, only the last three conditions are usually object of denial.


3 - 12 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Denying the condition of waiting with retention***

It means that a *process must request at once all the resources it needs for conti-nuation*. If it can get hold of them, the completion of the associated activity isensured. Otherwise, it must wait.

One should notice that *indefinite postponement* is not precluded. The procedure must also ensure that sooner or later the necessary resources will always be assigned to any process which will be requesting them. The introduction of *aging* policies to increase the priority of a process is a very popular method used in this situation.

3 - 13 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática


***Imposing the condition of liberation of resources***

It means that a *process, when it can not get hold of all the resources it requires for* *continuation, must release all the resources in its possession and start later on the whole request procedure from the very beginning*. Alternatively, it also means that a *process can only hold a resource at a time* (this, however, is a particular solutionand is not applicable in most cases).

Care should be taken for the process not to enter a *busy waiting* procedure of request / acquire resources. In principle, the process must block after freeing the resources it holds and be waken up only when the resources it was requesting are released.

Nevertheless, *indefinite postponement* is not precluded. The procedure must also ensure that sooner or later the necessary resources will always be assigned to any process which will be requesting them. The introduction of *aging* policies to increase the priority of a process is a very popular method used in this situation.

3 - 14 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Denying the condition of circular waiting***

It means *to establish a linear ordering of the resources* and *to make the process,* *when it tries to get hold of the resources it needs for continuation, to request them in increasing order of the number associated to each of them*.

In this way, the possibility of formation of a circular chain of processes holding resources and requesting others is prevented.

One should notice that *indefinite postponement* is not precluded. The procedure must also ensure that sooner or later the necessary resources will always be assigned to any process which will be requesting them. The introduction of *aging* policies to increase the priority of a process is a very popular method used in this situation.

3 - 15 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 1***

A *monitor* is a synchronization device, proposed independently by Hoare and Brinch Hansen, which can be thought of as a special module defined within the [concurrent] programming language and consisting of an internal data structure, initialization code and a set of access primitives.

**monitor** example

(\* *internal data structure*

**var***only accessible from the outside through the access primitives* \*)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| val: DATA; | (\* *shared region* \*) |  |
| c: **condition**; | (\* condition variable for synchronization \*) |  |
| (\* *access primitives*DETI\*) | |  |
| **procedure** pa1 (...); |  |  |
| **end** (\* pa1 \*) | **real**; |  |
| **function** pa2 (...): |  |

**end** (\* pa2 \*)

(\* initialization \*)

**begin**

...

**end**

**end monitor**;

3 - 16 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 2***

An application written in a concurrent language, implementing the *shared vari-ables paradigm*, is seen as a set of *threads* that compete for access to shared datastructures. When the dataDETIstructuresareimplementedas *monitors* , the program-ming language ensures that the execution of a *monitor* primitive is carried out following a mutual exclusion discipline. Thus, the compiler, on processing a *monitor*, generates the required code to impose this condition in a manner totallytransparent to the applications programmer.

A *thread* enters a *monitor* by calling one of its primitives, which constitutes the only way to access the internal data structure. As primitive execution entails mutual exclusion, when another *thread* is presently inside the monitor, the *thread* is blocked at the entrance, waiting for its turn.

3 - 17 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 3***

Synchronization among *threads* using monitors is managed by *condition variables*. *Condition variables* areDETIspecialdevices,definedinsidea monitor, where a threadmay be blocked, while waiting for an event that allows its continuation to occur. There are two atomic operations which can be executed on a *condition variable*

*wait* – the calling *thread* is blocked at the *condition variable* passed as argumentand is placed *outside the monitor* to allow another *thread*, wanting to enter, to proceed

*signal* – if there are blocked *threads* in the *condition variable* passed as argument,one of then is waken up; otherwise, nothing happens.

3 - 18 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 4***

To prevent the coexistence of multiple *threads* inside a *monitor*, a rule is needed which states how the contention arisen by a *signal* execution is resolved

*Hoare monitor* – the *thread* which calls *signal* is placed *outside the monitor* sothat the waken up DETI*thread*mayproceed;itisavery general solution, but its implementation requires a *stack*, where the *signal* calling *threads* are stored

*Brinch Hansen monitor* – the *thread* which calls *signal* must immediately exit the *monitor* ( *signal* should be the very last executed instruction in any accessprimitive, except for a possible *return* ); it is quite simple to implement, but it may become rather restrictive because it reduces the number of *signal* calls to one


*Lampson / Redell monitor* – the *thread* which calls *signal* proceeds, the waken up *thread* is kept *outside the monitor* and must compete for access to it again; it isstill simple to implement, but it may give rise to *indefinite postponement* of some of the involved *threads*.

3 - 19 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 5***

***Hoare monitor***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *calling monitor* | |  |  |  |  |
| *primitives* | |  |  | *entrance* |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ***condition variables*** |  | ***internal data structure*** | |  |
| *wait* |  | *signal* | ***initialization code*** | |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | DETI | | |  |  |
|  | ***internal waiting stack*** |  | ***access primitive*** | |  |
|  | *signal* |  |  |  |
|  |  | *\** | ***access primitive*** | |  |



*\* - operation triggered by any thread exiting the monitor*


*exit*

3 - 20 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 6***

***Brinch Hansen monitor***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *calling monitor* |  |  |  |  |
| *primitives* |  |  | *entrance* |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ***condition variables*** | | ***internal data structure*** | |  |
| *wait* |  | *signal* |  |  |
|  |  | ***initialization code*** | |  |
|  | DETI | |  |  |
|  |  | ***access primitive*** | |  |




***access primitive***

*exit*

3 - 21 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors - 7***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | ***Lampson / Redell monitor*** | |  |
| *calling monitor* |  |  |  |  |
| *primitives* |  |  | *entrance* |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | DETI | | |  |
| ***condition variables*** | |  | ***internal data structure*** |  |
| *wait* |  | *signal* |  |  |
|  |  | ***initialization code*** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ***access primitive*** |  |
|  |  |  | ***access primitive*** |  |
|  |  |  | *exit* |  |
| 3 - 22 |  |  | Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática |  |



called upon it

***Monitors in Java - 1***

Java supports Lampson / Redell monitors as its native synchronizing device

* each reference data type may be turned into a monitor, thus enabling to ensure

mutual exclusion and *thread* synchronization when methods are

• DETI static

each instantiated object may be turned into a monitor, thus enabling to ensure

mutual exclusion and *thread* synchronization when instantiation methods are called upon it.

In fact, and taking into account that Java is an object oriented language, each *thread*, being a Java object, can also be turned into a monitor. This property, if takento the last consequences, enables a *thread* to block in its own monitor!

This, however, should never be done, since it introduces mechanisms of self-reference which are usually very difficult to understand due to the side effects they generate.

3 - 23 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Monitors in Java - 2***

The implementation in Java of a Lampson / Redell monitor has, however, some peculiarities

* the number of condition variables is limited to one, referenced in a implicit manner through the object which represents in *runtime* the reference data type, or any of its instantiationsDETI
* the traditional *signal* operation is named *notify* and there is a variant of this operation, *notifyAll*, the most commonly used, which enables the waking up of *all* the *threads* presently blocked in the condition variable
* furthermore, there is a method in data type Thread, named *interrupt*, which when called on a specific *thread*, aims to wake it up by throwing an *exception* if it is blocked on a condition variable.

The need for the operation *notifyAll* becomes evident if one thinks that, by having a single condition variable per monitor, the only way to wake up a *thread* which is presently blocked waiting for a particular condition to be fulfilled, is by waking up *all* the blocked *threads* and then determine in a differential manner which is the one that can progress.

3 - 24 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 1***

The example presented next illustrates the use of monitors in different situations

• *thread* maincreates four *threads* of reference data typeTestThreadwhich try toinstantiate objects of data type ControlledInstantiation, each a storage location for value transfer between *threads* of data types Proc and Cons

• the reference data typeDETIControlledInstantiation, however, only allows the simultaneous instantiation of a maximum of two objects

• there are, thus, three types of monitors at play

− the monitor associated with the object that represents in *runtime* the reference data type

ControlledInstantiation


* the monitor associated with each instantiation of data type ControlledInstantiation
* the monitor associated with the object that represents in *runtime* the reference data type

genclass.GenericIO

* the first controls the instantiation of ControlledInstantiation objects, the second the value transfer between threads of data type Prod and Cons and the third the printing of data in the *standard* output device.

3 - 25 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 2***



|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***Controlled*** |  |
| ***Test*** | ***Instantiation*** |  |
| ***Synchroni*** | **(*ci*)** |  |
| ***zation*** | ***thr*[*i*]*, com*** |  |
|  |  |

DETI***i=0,...,NT-1inst***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Generic*** | ***cons*** |  |
| ***IO* (*cl*)** |  |
|  | ***prod*** |  |

3 - 26 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 3***

**Operation wait on a monitor defined at the reference data type level**

**public static synchronized** ControlledInstantiation generateInst ()

{

**while** (n >= NMAX)

{ ( Class.forName ("ControlledInstantiation"))DETI.wait (); }

**catch** (ClassNotFoundException e)

{ GenericIO.writelnString ("Data type ControlledInstantiation was not " + "found(generation)!");

e.printStackTrace ();

System.exit (1);

}

**catch** (InterruptedException e)

{ GenericIO.writelnString ("Static method generateInst was interrupted!");

}

}

n += 1;

nInst += 1;

**return new** ControlledInstantiation ();

}

3 - 27 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 4***

**Operation notify on a monitor defined at the reference data type level**

**public static synchronized**DETI**void**releaseInst()

{

n -= 1;

**try**

{ (Class.forName ("ControlledInstantiation")).notify ();

}

**catch** (ClassNotFoundException e)

{ GenericIO.writelnString ("Data type ControlledInstantiation was not found" + "(release)!");

e.printStackTrace ();

System.exit (1);

}

}

3 - 28 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 5***

**Operation wait and notify on a monitor defined at the object level**

**public synchronized void**DETIputVal(**int**val)

{

store = val;

**while** (store != -1)

{ notify ();

**try**

{ wait ();

}

**catch** (InterruptedException e)

{ GenericIO.writelnString ("Method putVal was interrupted!");

}

}

}

3 - 29 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 6***

**Access with mutual exclusion to a non-thread safe library**

e.printStackTrace ();DETI System.exit (1);

Class<?> cl = null; // representation of the library data type in JVM

**try**

{ cl = Class.forName ("genclass.GenericIO");

}

**catch** (ClassNotFoundException e)

{ System.out.println ("Data type genclass.GenericIO was not found!");

}

**synchronized** (cl)

{ GenericIO.writelnString ("I have already created the threads!");

}

3 - 30 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 7***

I have already created the threads!

I, Thread\_base\_2, got the instantiation number 2 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_1, got the instantiation number 1 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_1, am going to create the threads that will exchange the value! I, Thread\_base\_2, am going to create the threads that will exchange the value! I, Thread\_base\_1\_writer,DETIamgoingtowritethevalue1in instantiation number 1 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_2\_writer, am going to write the value 2 in instantiation number 2 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_1\_reader, read the value 1 in instantiation number 1 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

My thread which writes the value, Thread base 1 writer, has terminated.

My thread which reads the value, Thread\_base\_1\_reader, has terminated.

I, Thread\_base\_1, am going to release the instantiation number 1 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_0, got the instantiation number 3 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_0, am going to create the threads that will exchange the value! I, Thread\_base\_2\_reader, read the value 2 in instantiation number 2 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

My thread which writes the value, Thread\_base\_2\_writer, has terminated.

My thread which reads the value, Thread\_base\_2\_reader, has terminated.

I, Thread\_base\_2, am going to release the instantiation number 2 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

3 - 31 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Controlled instantiation - 8***

I, Thread\_base\_3, got the instantiation number 4 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_3, am going to create the threads that will exchange the value! I, Thread\_base\_3\_writer, am going to write the value 3 in instantiation number 4 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

I, Thread\_base\_0\_writer, am going to write the value 0 in instantiation number 3 of data type ControlledInstantiation!DETI

I, Thread\_base\_0\_reader, read the value 0 in instantiation number 3 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

My thread which writes the value, Thread base 0 writer, has terminated.

My thread which reads the value, Thread base 0 reader, has terminated.

I, Thread\_base\_0, am going to release the instantiation number 3 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

The thread Thread\_base\_0 has terminated.

The thread Thread\_base\_1 has terminated.

The thread Thread\_base\_2 has terminated.

I, Thread\_base\_3\_reader, read the value 3 in instantiation number 4 of data type ControlledInstantiation!

My thread which writes the value, Thread\_base\_3\_writer, has terminated.

My thread which reads the value, Thread\_base\_3\_reader, has terminated.

I, Thread\_base\_3, am going to release the instantiation number 4 of data type ControlledInstantiation!


The thread Thread\_base\_3 has terminated.

3 - 32 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Semaphores - 1***

A different approach to solve the problem of access with mutual exclusion to a critical region is based on the observation.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| /\* *control data structure* \*/ | | | | |  |  |
| **#define** R | | ... | /\* number of processes wishing to access a critical region, | | |  |
|  |  |  | pid = 0, 1, ..., R-1 \*/ | |  |  |
| **shared unsigned int** access = 1; | | | | |  |  |
| /\* *primitive for entering the critical region* \*/ | | | | |  |  |
| **void** enter\_RC (**unsigned int** own pid) | | | | |  |  |
| { |  | | |  |  |  |
| **if** (access == 0) sleep (own\_pid); | | | ***atomic operation*** |  |
|  | **else** | access -= 1; | DETI(its | | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | execution can not be interrupted) |  |
| } |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



/\* *primitive for exiting the critical region* \*/ **void** exit\_RC ()

{ **if** (there are blocked processes) wake\_up\_one (); **else** access += 1; 



}

***atomic operation***

(its execution can not be interrupted)

3 - 33 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Semaphores - 2***

A *semaphore* is a synchronization device, originally invented by Dijkstra, which can be thought of as a variable of the type

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | val is | DETI |
| **typedef struct** | |  |
| { **unsigned int** val; /\* non-negative counting value \*/ | | |
| NODE \*queue; | | /\* blocked processes waiting queue \*/ |
| } SEMAPHORE; | |  |
| where two atomic operations may be performed | | |
| *down* – if |  | different from zero (the semaphore has a shade of green), its |

value is decreased by one unit; otherwise, the process which called the operation, is blocked and its id is placed in queue

*up* – if there are blocked processes inqueue, one of them is waken up (accordingto any previously prescribed discipline); otherwise, the value of val is in-creased by one unit.

3 - 34 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Semaphores - 3***

/\* *kernel defined semaphore array* \*/

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#define** | R ... |  | /\* semaphore id - id = 0, 1, ..., R-1 \*/ |  |
| **static** SEMAPHORE sem[R]; | | |  |  |
| /\* *down operation* \*/ | | DETI | |  |
| } |  |  |
| **void** down (**unsigned int** | | | id) |  |

{

disable interrupts / lock access flag;

**if** (sem[id].val == 0) sleep on sem (getpid(), id); **else** sem[id].val -= 1;

enable interrupts / unlock access flag;

/\* *up operation* \*/

**void** up (**unsigned int** id)

{

disable interrupts / lock access flag;

**if** (there are blocked processes in sem[id]) wake\_up\_one\_on\_sem (id); **else** sem[id].val += 1;

enable interrupts / unlock access flag;

}

3 - 35 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Java Dijkstra semaphore***

**public class** Semaphore

{ **private int** val = 0, // green / red indicator

numbBlockThreads = 0; // number of the blocked threads

// in the monitor

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **public synchronized void** down () | | |  |
| { **if** | (val == 0) | DETI |  |
|  |  |

{ numbBlockThreads += 1;

**try**

{ wait ();

}

**catch** (InterruptedException e) {}

}

**else** val -= 1;

}

**public synchronized void** up ()

{

**if** (numbBlockThreads != 0)

notify ();

}

**else** val += 1;

}

}

3 - 36 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Java concurrency library - 1***

Java concurrency library supplies the following relevant synchronization devices

* *barriers* – devices which lead to the blocking of set of *threads* until acondition for DETItheircontinuationisfulfilled;when the barrier is raised, all the blocked processes are waken up
* *semaphores* – devices that provide a more general implementation of thesemaphore concept than the one prescribed by Dijkstra, namely allowing the calling of *down* and *up* operations where the internal field val is

decreased or increased by more than an unit at a time and having a non-blocking variant of *down* operation

* *exchanger* – devices that allow an exchange of values between *threads* pairsthrough a *rendez-vous* type synchronization.

3 - 37 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Java concurrency library - 2***

* *locks* – Lampson-Redell monitors of general character (in contrast to Java *built-in* monitor,DETIitispossibletodefineheremultiple condition variablesand to get a similar functionality to that provided by the *pthread* library
* *atomic variable manipulation* – *read*-*modify*-*write* mechanisms which allowwriting and reading the contents of several types of variables without the risk of *racing conditions*.

The library also provides basic thread blocking primitives for creating locks and other synchronization devices.

3 - 38 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática

***Suggested reading***

* *Distributed Systems: Concepts and Design, 4th Edition, Coulouris*, Dollimore,Kindberg, Addison-Wesley

– Chapter 6: *Operating systems support*

* *Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms, 2nd Edition,* Tanenbaum, vanSteen, Pearson Education Inc.

– Chapter 3: *Processes*

* *On-line* support documentation for Java program developing environment byOracle (Java Platform Standard Edition 8)

3 - 39 Departamento de Electrónica, Telecomunicações e Informática